

High p_T hadron spectra in high-energy heavy-ion collisions

Xin-Nian Wang ^{a *}

^aNuclear Science Division, MS 70A-3307
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

We calculate the hadron spectra in high-energy pp , pA and AA collisions within a pQCD parton model. Analyses of experimental data show that the modification of the spectra in pA and AA as compared to that in pp collisions is consistent with multiple parton scattering scenario and is dictated by the transition from absorptive soft interaction at low p_T to incoherent hard parton scattering at high p_T . This analysis not only sheds new light on the limits to the physics analysis based on thermal fire-ball models but also provides a more quantitative baseline results on which one can study the effects of parton energy loss in the hadron spectra at high p_T .

1. Introduction

Large- p_T partons or jets are good probes of the dense matter formed in ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions [1]. The study of parton energy loss can shed light on the properties of the dense matter in the early stage of heavy-ion collisions. Large p_T single-inclusive particle spectra in nuclear collisions are sensitive [2] to parton energy loss. It is also a crucial test whether there is any thermalization going on in the initial stage of heavy-ion collisions.

At low p_T the pQCD parton model becomes invalid and other alternative approaches like thermal fire-ball models have to be used, from which one can extract the freeze-out temperature, collective radial flow velocity and chemical potential [3]. Apparently, these thermal fire-ball models cannot be applied to describe hadron spectra at large p_T . Therefore, it is very important to investigate how well a pQCD parton model can describe hadron spectra in pp collisions and their modification in pA and AA collisions and where the transition happens between hard and soft hadron production. In particular, the impact-parameter or A dependence of the spectra may be unique to distinguish the parton model from other thermal fire-ball or hydrodynamic models. One can then at least make a quantitative conclusion about the validity of different models at different p_T range. The values of temperature and flow velocity extracted from a fire-ball model analysis, for example, will have to be looked at with caution and knowledge of limitations.

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

2. Hadron Spectra in pp Collisions

In a pQCD parton model, the inclusive particle production cross section in pp collisions is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_{pp}^h}{dyd^2p_T} = K \sum_{abcd} \int dx_a dx_b d^2k_{aT} d^2k_{bT} g_p(k_{aT}, Q^2) g_p(k_{bT}, Q^2) f_{a/p}(x_a, Q^2) f_{b/p}(x_b, Q^2) \frac{D_{h/c}^0(z_c, Q^2)}{\pi z_c} \frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}}(ab \rightarrow cd), \quad (1)$$

where $D_{h/c}^0(z_c, Q^2)$ is the fragmentation function of parton c into hadron h as parameterized in [4] from e^+e^- data, z_c is the momentum fraction of a parton jet carried by a produced hadron. We choose the momentum scale as the transverse momentum of the produced parton jet $Q = p_T/z_c$. We also use a factor $K \approx 2$ (unless otherwise specified) to account for higher order QCD corrections to the jet production cross section.

One normally assumes the initial k_T distribution $g_N(k_T)$ to have a Gaussian form. In this study we relax the Gaussian form by assuming a variance which depends on p_T leading effectively to a non-Gaussian distribution,

$$\langle k_T^2 \rangle_N(Q) = 1(\text{GeV}^2) + 0.2\alpha_s(Q^2)Q^2. \quad (2)$$

The parameters are chosen to reproduce the experimental data at around SPS energies.

Shown in Fig. 1 are our calculated spectra for charged pions as compared to the experimental data [5] for $p + p$ collisions at $E_{\text{lab}} = 200$ GeV. Without the initial k_T smearing the calculations significantly underestimate the experimental data. This is because the QCD spectra are very steep at low energies and even a small amount of initial k_T could make a big increase to the final spectra. As the energy increases, the QCD spectra become flatter and small amount of initial k_T does not change the spectra much. Such parton model calculations fit very well at energies from $\sqrt{s} = 20 - 1800$ GeV for pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions [6]. The p_T dependence of π^-/π^+ ratio is another indication of the dominance of valence quark scattering in large p_T hadron spectra at SPS energies.

3. pA and AA Collisions

We assume that the inclusive differential cross section for large p_T particle production is still given by hard parton-parton scattering, except that the initial transverse momentum k_T of the beam partons is broadened. Assuming that each scattering provide a k_T kick which also has a Gaussian distribution, we can in effect just change the width of the initial k_T distribution,

$$\langle k_T^2 \rangle_A(Q^2) = \langle k_T^2 \rangle_N(Q^2) + \delta^2(Q^2)(\nu_A(b) - 1). \quad (3)$$

The broadening is assumed to be proportional to the number of scattering $\nu_A(b)$ the projectile suffers inside the nucleus. We will use the following k_T broadening per nucleon-nucleon collision,

$$\delta^2(Q^2) = 0.225 \frac{\ln^2(Q/\text{GeV})}{1 + \ln(Q/\text{GeV})} \text{ GeV}^2/c^2. \quad (4)$$




Figure 1. Single-inclusive pion spectra in $p + p$ collisions at $E_{\text{lab}} = 200$ GeV in pQCD parton model with (solid) and without (dot-dashed) intrinsic k_T . Data are from Ref. [5].




Figure 2. π^0 spectra in $A + B$ collisions at the CERN SPS energies in pQCD parton model with (solid) and without (dashed) k_T broadening. Data are from Refs. [7,8].

The p_T dependence of the broadening reflects the fact that the distribution of soft k_T kick for each scattering does not necessarily have a Gaussian form.

The above calculation has been shown to reproduce the nuclear modification of the hadron spectra in pA data very well [6]. Shown in Fig. 2 are the calculated inclusive spectra for produced π_0 in $A+B$ collisions. The pQCD parton model calculations with the k_T broadening due to initial multiple scattering (solid lines) agree with the experimental data (WA80 and WA98) [7,8] well very at p_T above 2 GeV/ c . No parton energy loss has been assumed in the calculations. The dashed lines are the spectra in pp collisions at the same energy multiplied by the averaged number of binary NN collisions given by the nuclear geometrical factor. The difference between the solid and dashed lines is simply caused by effects of k_T broadening and nuclear modification of parton distributions inside nuclei.

4. A Scaling of Hadron Spectra

According to the pQCD parton model, the hadron spectra at large p_T should scale with the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions if no nuclear effect is included. So if one defines a ratio,

$$R_{AB}(p_T) \equiv \frac{d\sigma_{AB}^h/dy d^2 p_T}{\langle N_{\text{binary}} \rangle d\sigma_{pp}^h/dy d^2 p_T} \quad (5)$$

between spectra in AB and NN collisions normalized by the averaged number of binary collisions $\langle N_{\text{binary}} \rangle$, the ratio will be approximately one for spectra from hard parton

collisions. Because of absorptive processes, low p_T particle production, which can be considered as coherent over the dimension of nuclear size, has much weaker A -dependence. In the wounded-nucleon model, soft particle production is proportional to the average number of wounded nucleons, the above ratio will become

$$R_{AB} \sim 0.5(1/A^{1/3} + 1/B^{1/3}) \quad (6)$$

So the ratio as defined in Eq. (5) will be smaller than one at low p_T and larger than one at large p_T . Such a general feature has been found to be almost universal in both pA and AB collisions. One interesting feature from this analysis is that the transition between soft coherent interaction to hard parton scattering happen roughly around $p_T=1.5$ GeV. This is also the place where hadron spectra in pp collisions start to deviate from a pure exponential form. One can then expect that for spectra above this value of p_T the underlying mechanism of hadron production is dominated by hard processes.

At even higher p_T , the effect of multiple scattering becomes less important, so the ratio R_{AB} will approach to 1 again (higher twist effect should be suppressed by $1/p_T^2$), as shown by the pA data [6]. However, at SPS energy, such a feature cannot be fully revealed because of the kinetic limit. One will then only see the initial increase of the ratio due to the transition from soft to hard processes. Such a change of spectra from pp to pA and AA collisions in a limited kinetic range looks very similar to the effect of collective flow in a hydrodynamic model. However, models motivated by parton scattering have definite A -dependence of such a nuclear modification. Therefore one should take caution about the values of temperature and flow velocity extracted from such a fire-ball analysis of the spectra, especially if one has to reply on the shape of the spectra in the p_T region around 1 GeV.

As one can also observe that the comparison of parton model calculation and the experiment data does not shown any evidence of parton energy loss [9]. This implies that the life-time of dense partonic matter could be shorter than the mean free path of the propagating parton. It also shows that the dense hadronic matter which has existed for a period of time in the final stage of heavy-ion collisions does not cause any apparent parton energy loss or jet quenching. If one observes a dramatic suppression of high p_T hadron spectra at the BNL RHIC energy as predicted [1,6,10], then it will clearly indicate an initial condition very different from what has been reached at the CERN SPS energy.

REFERENCES

1. X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1480 (1992).
2. X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 2321 (1998).
3. U. Heinz, this proceeding.
4. J. Binnewies, B. A. Kniehl and G. Kramer, Z. Phys. **C65**, 471 (1995).
5. D. Antreasyan, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 764 (1979).
6. X.-N. Wang, nucl-th/9812021
7. R. Albrecht *et al.*, WA80 Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 255 (1998).
8. M. M. Aggarwal *et al.*, WA98 Collaboration, nucl-ex/9806004 (to be published).
9. X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2655 (1998).
10. X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 231 (1996).